

APPLICATION NO.

10/647,118

P.O. BOX 14300

23911

United States Patent and Trademark Office

FILING DATE

08/25/2003

08/10/2004

7590

CROWELL & MORING LLP

WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER NUMBER

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

037149.52655US 9828

EXAMINER

DILLON JR, JOSEPH A

3651

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2004

ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

Gerhard Goth

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/647,118	GOTH, GERHARD
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joseph A. Dillon, Jr.	3651
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 A	<u>pril 2003</u> .	
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3 and 7 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/20/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/647,118 Page 2

Art Unit: 3651

. 4 . .

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-2 & 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zlotos in view of either van Aalst or Shiers et al.

Zlotos discloses:

- A conveyor conveying granulate resin;
- A handling device 6;
- A storage vessel 1;
- A suction probe 2;
- A control member(s) 8, 9 comprising a sensor 7.

Zlotos lack(s) a plurality of handling devices. Both van Aalst and Shiers et al. teach(es) a plurality of handling devices.

It would have been obvious to modify Zlotos to provide a plurality of handling devices in order to increase efficiency as taught by either van Aalst or Shiers et al.

3. Claims 1-2 & 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zlotos as applied to claims 1-2 & 4 above, and further in view of Duckham.

With regard to claim(s) 5-6, Zlotos is silent on the particulars of the probe.

Duckham teach(es) the particulars of the probe.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,118 Page 3

Art Unit: 3651

It would have been obvious to modify Zlotos to provide/substitute the claimed probe structure in order to increase efficiency as taught by Duckham.

Conclusion

4. Claims 3 & 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph A. Dillon, Jr. whose telephone number is (703)305-9728. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5:30, every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Ellis can be reached on (703)308-2560. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)305-7687 for regular communications and (703)308-0552 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1134.

JOE DILLON, JR.